


QUALITY PROFILES - SUMMARY GRAPH



Quality Groups:

(1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.

(3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.


(4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.

This plot is presented as an aggregate of data from the Phase I of evaluation for convenience of evaluators in the Phase II.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014

QUALITY PROFILES



Quality Groups:

(1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.


(3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.

(5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.

This plot is presented as an aggregate of data from the Phase I of evaluation for convenience of evaluators in the Phase II; the columns represent outputs (not productivity) and cannot be directly compared each other.


QUALITY OF OUTPUTS BY JOURNALS - SUMMARY GRAPH



Number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down).

This plot is presented as an aggregate of bibliometric data for convenience of evaluators.


Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
QUALITY OF OUTPUTS BY INTENSITY OF CITATIONS - SUMMARY GRAPH



Number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down).

This plot is presented as an aggregate of bibliometric data for convenience of evaluators.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
QUALITY OF OUTPUTS BY INTENSITY OF CITATIONS



Number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down).

This plot is presented as an aggregate of bibliometric data for convenience of evaluators; the columns represent outputs (not productivity) and cannot be directly compared each other.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS




Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Astroparticle Physics
Head: RNDr. Petr Trávníček, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 71 **Evaluated outputs :** 10 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 53 **Large collaborations outputs:** 45

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	4	3	3	0	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS	23
PHYSICS, PARTICLES & FIELDS	12
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	5
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	5
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	5
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	1
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	1
METEOROLOGY & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper; large collaborations outputs are also included.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS




Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.	Team: Spintronics and Nanoelectronics	Head: Tomáš Jungwirth, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 122	Evaluated outputs : 17 (0)	Outputs for bibliometry : 97
		Large collaborations outputs: 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	12	4	0	0	1

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	30
PHYSICS, APPLIED	16
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	15
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	12
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	5
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	5
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	3
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	3
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	2
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	2
SPECTROSCOPY	1
OPTICS	1
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	1
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS





Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Structural Analysis
Head: RNDr. Michal Dušek, CSc.
Total number of outputs : 362 **Evaluated outputs :** 23 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 303 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	6	13	4	0	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	115
CHEMISTRY, INORGANIC & NUCLEAR	38
MINERALOGY	35
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	23
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	20
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	10
CHEMISTRY, ORGANIC	9
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	7
PHYSICS, APPLIED	6
GEOSCIENCES, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	5
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	4
OPTICS	3
SPECTROSCOPY	3
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	2
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CHARACTERIZATION & TESTING	2
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	2
CHEMISTRY, MEDICINAL	2
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	2
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	2
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	2
BIOCHEMICAL RESEARCH METHODS	2
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	1
ENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL	1
BIOCHEMISTRY & MOLECULAR BIOLOGY	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	1
PHYSICS, FLUIDS & PLASMAS	1
ENGINEERING, ENVIRONMENTAL	1
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	1
GEOLOGY	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, BIOMATERIALS	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS




Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Magnetism and Superconductors
Head: Ing. Jiří Hejtmánek, CSc.
Total number of outputs : 213 **Evaluated outputs :** 31 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 189 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	3	17	9	2	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	56
PHYSICS, APPLIED	27
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	27
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	21
CHEMISTRY, INORGANIC & NUCLEAR	10
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	9
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	9
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	5
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	5
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	3
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	3
CHEMISTRY, ANALYTICAL	2
COMPUTER SCIENCE, INTERDISCIPLINARY APPLICATIONS	2
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	2
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	2
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	1
POLYMER SCIENCE	1
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	1
OPTICS	1
CHEMISTRY, APPLIED	1
CHEMISTRY, MEDICINAL	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS





Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Thin Films and Nanostructures
Head: RNDr. Antonín Fejfar, CSc.
Total number of outputs : 297 **Evaluated outputs :** 42 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 190 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	10	20	12	0	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	43
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	39
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	21
PHYSICS, APPLIED	17
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	12
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	11
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	10
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	7
ELECTROCHEMISTRY	5
OPTICS	4
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	3
PHYSICS, ATOMIC, MOLECULAR & CHEMICAL	3
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	3
ENERGY & FUELS	2
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	2
CHEMISTRY, ANALYTICAL	1
EDUCATION, SCIENTIFIC DISCIPLINES	1
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	1
BIOLOGY	1
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, BIOMATERIALS	1
COMPUTER SCIENCE, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE	1
ENGINEERING, CHEMICAL	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS


Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Optical Materials
Head: Ing. Martin Nikl, CSc.
Total number of outputs : 719 **Evaluated outputs :** 44 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 523 **Large collaborations outputs:** 85

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)


Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	8	18	18	0	0

Quality Groups:


- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.




Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	109
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	71
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	59
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	55
PHYSICS, APPLIED	34
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	26
OPTICS	25
PHYSICS, PARTICLES & FIELDS	23
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	17
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	16
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	16
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	11
PHYSICS, NUCLEAR	7
CHEMISTRY, ANALYTICAL	6
ELECTROCHEMISTRY	6
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	5
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	4
SPECTROSCOPY	4
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	4
PHYSICS, ATOMIC, MOLECULAR & CHEMICAL	4
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	3
ENGINEERING, CHEMICAL	3
ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS	3
ENERGY & FUELS	2
CHEMISTRY, APPLIED	2
PHYSICS, FLUIDS & PLASMAS	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CHARACTERIZATION & TESTING	1
BIOLOGY	1
ENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL	1
ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper; large collaborations outputs are also included.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS




Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Magnetic Nanosystems
Head: RNDr. Jana Vejpravová, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 114 Evaluated outputs : 12 (0) Outputs for bibliometry : 98 Large collaborations outputs: 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	3	6	3	0	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	25
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	23
PHYSICS, APPLIED	14
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	9
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	7
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	6
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	4
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	3
MECHANICS	3
CHEMISTRY, INORGANIC & NUCLEAR	2
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS





Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Dielectrics
Head: Ing. Jiří Hlinka, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 349 **Evaluated outputs :** 51 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 307 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	8	36	7	0	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	75
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	55
PHYSICS, APPLIED	36
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	33
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	18
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	12
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	12
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	9
POLYMER SCIENCE	8
OPTICS	8
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	8
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	6
PHYSICS, FLUIDS & PLASMAS	5
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	3
PHYSICS, ATOMIC, MOLECULAR & CHEMICAL	2
CHEMISTRY, INORGANIC & NUCLEAR	2
CHEMISTRY, APPLIED	2
ELECTROCHEMISTRY	2
CHEMISTRY, ORGANIC	2
CHEMISTRY, ANALYTICAL	2
MINERALOGY	1
PHYSICS, PARTICLES & FIELDS	1
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	1
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	1
CELL BIOLOGY	1
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	1
ENERGY & FUELS	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS




Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Nanocrystals and Grain Boundaries
Head: prof. Ing. Pavel Lejček, DrSc.
Total number of outputs : 87 **Evaluated outputs :** 12 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 66 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	3	7	2	0	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	23
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	17
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	5
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	4
ENGINEERING, MECHANICAL	3
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	2
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	2
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	2
MECHANICS	2
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CHARACTERIZATION & TESTING	2
ENGINEERING, MANUFACTURING	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COMPOSITES	1
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1
PHYSICS, APPLIED	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS



Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Nanodiamond and Biointerfaces
Head: doc. Ing. Irena Kratochvílová, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 53 **Evaluated outputs :** 8 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 42 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	3	4	1	0	0



Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs

Field	Outputs
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	10
PHYSICS, APPLIED	7
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	6
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	6
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	3
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	1
PHARMACOLOGY & PHARMACY	1
SPECTROSCOPY	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, BIOMATERIALS	1
ELECTROCHEMISTRY	1
ACOUSTICS	1
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1
CHEMISTRY, ANALYTICAL	1
ENGINEERING, MANUFACTURING	1
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	1



Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS


Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Shape memory materials and composites
Head: RNDr. Petr Šittner, CSc.
Total number of outputs : 187 **Evaluated outputs :** 19 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 115 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)


Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	7	9	2	1	0

Quality Groups:


- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.




Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHYSICS, APPLIED	27
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	24
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	13
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	7
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	7
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	6
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CHARACTERIZATION & TESTING	5
ENGINEERING, MECHANICAL	3
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	3
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	2
PHYSICS, PARTICLES & FIELDS	2
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	2
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	2
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	2
COMPUTER SCIENCE, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COMPOSITES	1
ACOUSTICS	1
ENGINEERING, MANUFACTURING	1
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	1
ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1
MECHANICS	1
ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS	1
ELECTROCHEMISTRY	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS





Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Microscopic theory of manyparticle systems
Head: prof. RNDr. Václav Janiš, DrSc.
Total number of outputs : 178 **Evaluated outputs :** 27 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 143 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	7	13	7	0	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	61
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	24
PHYSICS, APPLIED	16
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	6
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	5
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	5
MICROSCOPY	4
PHYSICS, MATHEMATICAL	4
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	2
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	2
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	2
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	2
PHYSICS, FLUIDS & PLASMAS	1
PHYSICS, ATOMIC, MOLECULAR & CHEMICAL	1
COMPUTER SCIENCE, INTERDISCIPLINARY APPLICATIONS	1
CHEMISTRY, APPLIED	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	1
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	1
OPTICS	1
MATHEMATICAL & COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY	1
ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS	1
MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS


Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Laser-matter Interaction and Chemical Physics
Head: Ing. Libor Juha, CSc.
Total number of outputs : 220 **Evaluated outputs :** 35 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 161 **Large collaborations outputs:** 11

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	9	18	7	1	0



Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs

Field	Outputs
OPTICS	27
PHYSICS, FLUIDS & PLASMAS	23
PHYSICS, APPLIED	20
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	17
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	16
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	16
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	7
PHYSICS, ATOMIC, MOLECULAR & CHEMICAL	5
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	4
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	4
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	4
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	4
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	3
SPECTROSCOPY	3
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	3
CHEMISTRY, ANALYTICAL	1
CHEMISTRY, INORGANIC & NUCLEAR	1
COMPUTER SCIENCE, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE	1
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	1
ENGINEERING, CHEMICAL	1



Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper; large collaborations outputs are also included.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.

Team: Laser Development and Applications

Head: Ing. Tomáš Mocek, Ph.D.




Total number of outputs : 88 **Evaluated outputs :** 17 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 72 **Large collaborations outputs:** 2

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	1	7	8	1	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
OPTICS	28
PHYSICS, APPLIED	11
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	10
PHYSICS, FLUIDS & PLASMAS	7
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	3
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	3
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	3
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	3
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	2
ENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL	1
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper; large collaborations outputs are also included.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS





Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: ELI Beamlines
Head: Dr. Georg Korn
Total number of outputs : 159 **Evaluated outputs :** 32 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 125 **Large collaborations outputs:** 3

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	1	15	9	7	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHYSICS, FLUIDS & PLASMAS	23
OPTICS	23
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	19
PHYSICS, APPLIED	13
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	12
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	11
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	8
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	8
CHEMISTRY, INORGANIC & NUCLEAR	3
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	2
ENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL	1
PHYSICS, PARTICLES & FIELDS	1
PHYSICS, NUCLEAR	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper; large collaborations outputs are also included.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS





Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Experimental particle physics
Head: Mgr. Alexander Kupčo, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 722 **Evaluated outputs :** 31 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 665 **Large collaborations outputs:** 651

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	10	12	7	2	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	247
PHYSICS, PARTICLES & FIELDS	179
ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS	155
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	35
PHYSICS, NUCLEAR	28
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	13
PHYSICS, APPLIED	3
OPTICS	2
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	1
ENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL	1
METEOROLOGY & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper; large collaborations outputs are also included.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS




Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Particle physics theory and phenomenology
Head: Mgr. Martin Schnabl, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 485 **Evaluated outputs :** 19 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 470 **Large collaborations outputs:** 421

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	6	6	7	0	0

Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	198
PHYSICS, PARTICLES & FIELDS	177
ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS	65
PHYSICS, NUCLEAR	12
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	10
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	1
ENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL	1
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1
POLYMER SCIENCE	1
MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	1
PSYCHIATRY	1
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	1
NEUROSCIENCES	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper; large collaborations outputs are also included.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Optical and biophysical systems
Head: Ing. Alexander Dejneka, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 175 **Evaluated outputs :** 20 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 132 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	1	9	8	2	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	30
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	16
PHYSICS, APPLIED	13
OPTICS	12
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	11
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	10
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	8
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CHARACTERIZATION & TESTING	4
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	4
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	3
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	3
BIOPHYSICS	2
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	2
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	2
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	2
ELECTROCHEMISTRY	2
POLYMER SCIENCE	1
CHEMISTRY, APPLIED	1
BIOCHEMISTRY & MOLECULAR BIOLOGY	1
ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	1
ENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL	1
ACOUSTICS	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, BIOMATERIALS	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Physical processes in low temperature plasma
Head: Mgr. Zdeněk Hubička, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 153 **Evaluated outputs :** 17 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 96 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	0	7	10	0	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	18
PHYSICS, APPLIED	15
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	13
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	13
PHYSICS, FLUIDS & PLASMAS	8
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	5
CHEMISTRY, APPLIED	4
OPTICS	4
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	4
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	2
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	2
MATERIALS SCIENCE, BIOMATERIALS	1
ACOUSTICS	1
ENGINEERING, CHEMICAL	1
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	1
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	1
ELECTROCHEMISTRY	1
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Plasma-based technologies and analysis of functional nanomaterials
Head: Ing. Ján Lančok, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 86 **Evaluated outputs :** 17 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 78 **Large collaborations outputs:** 0


Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	0	6	11	0	0


Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.


Quality Profile




Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking



Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations



Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	14
PHYSICS, APPLIED	13
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	10
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	8
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	5
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	5
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	4
POLYMER SCIENCE	3
ELECTROCHEMISTRY	2
OPTICS	2
SPECTROSCOPY	2
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	2
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	2
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	1
CHEMISTRY, INORGANIC & NUCLEAR	1
PHYSICS, ATOMIC, MOLECULAR & CHEMICAL	1
BIOCHEMISTRY & MOLECULAR BIOLOGY	1
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 with less than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); ‘world-leading’ quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; ‘world leading’, ‘internationally’ and ‘nationally’ in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of ‘world leading’ standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of ‘world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized’ standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of “not cited” outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS



Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Classical and quantum optics
Head: doc. RNDr. Ondřej Haderka, Ph.D.
Total number of outputs : 174 **Evaluated outputs :** 26 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 118 **Large collaborations outputs:** 39

Quality Groups of Outputs (Results of the Phase I.)

Quality	1	2	3	4	5
Outputs	9	14	3	0	0



Quality Groups:

- (1): Quality that is **world-leading** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (2): Quality that is **internationally excellent** in terms of originality, significance and rigour but which falls short of the highest standards of excellence.
- (3): Quality that is **recognized internationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (4): Quality that is **recognized nationally** in terms of originality, significance and rigour.
- (5): Quality that falls **below the standard** of nationally recognized work. Or work which does not meet the published definition of research for the purposes of this assessment.



Field Structure of Outputs

Field	Outputs
OPTICS	45
ASTRONOMY & ASTROPHYSICS	20
PHYSICS, PARTICLES & FIELDS	8
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	7
ENGINEERING, MECHANICAL	7
INSTRUMENTS & INSTRUMENTATION	6
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	4
PHYSICS, APPLIED	3
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	3
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	2
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	2
ORTHOPEDICS	2
MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES	2
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	2
CONSTRUCTION & BUILDING TECHNOLOGY	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	1
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1
METEOROLOGY & ATMOSPHERIC SCIENCES	1
MICROSCOPY	1



Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper; large collaborations outputs are also included.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.





Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.

Evaluation of the Research and Professional Activities of the Institutes of the Czech Academy of Sciences for 2010–2014
RESULTS OF THE PHASE I. AND BIBLIOMETRIC PARAMETERS

Institute: Institute of Physics of the CAS, v. v. i.
Team: Semiconductors
Head: RNDr. Jiří J. Mareš, CSc.
Total number of outputs : 169 **Evaluated outputs :** 26 (0) **Outputs for bibliometry :** 117 **Large collaborations outputs:** 1



Field Structure of Outputs

Field Structure of Outputs	Outputs
PHYSICS, APPLIED	14
ENGINEERING, CHEMICAL	14
PHYSICS, CONDENSED MATTER	11
NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY	11
MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	11
PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	10
CRYSTALLOGRAPHY	6
ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	5
THERMODYNAMICS	5
MATERIALS SCIENCE, COATINGS & FILMS	4
CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	4
OPTICS	4
CHEMISTRY, ANALYTICAL	4
ENGINEERING, ENVIRONMENTAL	2
NUCLEAR SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	2
POLYMER SCIENCE	2
MATERIALS SCIENCE, CERAMICS	2
CHEMISTRY, PHYSICAL	2
METALLURGY & METALLURGICAL ENGINEERING	2
MECHANICS	1
ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	1

Total number of outputs: selected types of outputs published in 2010-2014 and registered in the institutional research information system: journal article, monograph, monograph chapter, proceedings paper, patent, utility model, industrial design, prototype, functional specimen, norms and directives, specialized map, realized certified methodology, software, pilot plant, verified technology, plant breed/variety.

Evaluated outputs: outputs submitted by the team and evaluated in the Phase I (value in the brackets shows number of outputs submitted by the team but not evaluated in the Phase I).

Outputs for bibliometry: publications in 2010-2014 registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper; large collaborations outputs are also included.

Large collaborations outputs: publications in 2010-2014 with more than 30 authors registered in the Web of Science; document type: article, review or proceedings paper.

Quality Profile: number of evaluated outputs vs quality groups (5 groups); 'world-leading' quality denotes an absolute standard of quality in each field and subfield; 'world leading', 'internationally' and 'nationally' in this context refer to quality standards; they do not refer to the nature or geographical scope of particular subjects, nor to the focus of research nor its place of dissemination; for example, research which is focused on the subject specific to the Czech Republic might be of 'world leading' standard, on the contrary, work with an international focus might not be of 'world leading, internationally excellent or internationally recognized' standard.

Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking: number of outputs in top decile (1*) and quartiles (1-4) by AIS of journals; n. a. - outputs in journals without AIS; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Number of Citations: number of outputs in the top decile (1*) and in quartiles (1, 2, 3+4) of the list of outputs ordered by the number of citations; n. a. - the number of outputs in the field is low and/or the number of citations is not sufficient for relevant judgement; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the mean value of quartile is taken (values from 0,1 to 0,5 rounded down); orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Quality of Outputs by Citation Sources: fraction of citations of all outputs in the top quartile (TOP25) or the top half (TOP50) of list of journals ordered by AIS; fraction of "not cited" outputs is added; orange: outputs submitted by the team to the Evaluation, blue: other outputs by the team.

Field Structure of Outputs: number of outputs of the team in different fields; if the output is assigned to more than one field, the field where the publication performs best (assessed by Quality of Outputs by Journals Ranking) is taken; the table shows up to 30 fields.